CONSTRAINTS IN ADOPTION OF INTEGRATED PEST MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES AGAINST MANGO MEALY BUG *DROSICHA MANGIFERAE* (GREEN) IN TALUKA HYDERABAD (RURAL)

Mehar ul Nissa Rais¹, Tehmina Mangan¹, Jam Ghulam Murtaza Sahito¹,

Jazib Hussain Rais, and Nazia Rais

¹Department of Agricultural Economics, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam-Pakistan

Corresponding author: Mehar ul Nissa Rais, Email: mnrais@sau.edu.pk

ABSTRACT: The present research study was conducted to determine constraint in adoption of integrated pest management strategies against mango mealy bug Drosicha mangiferae (Green) in taluka Hyderabad (rural) during 2014-2015. Six union council of taluka Hydarabad (rural) were randomly selected for study purpose. Twenty samples from each union council (a total sample size of 120 respondents) were interrogated. The result indicated that mango growers of all (six) union councils of Taluka Hyderabad adopting (93.78%) insecticide against mango mealy which is very high amount of poisonous material and creating environmental pollution, where as biological control (1.21%), Mechanical control (2.88%), cultural control (26.65%), Physical control (9.39%), and bio-pesticide (2.9%), respectively. Study further revealed that illiteracy or low education level (65.23% Lack of adequate extension problem (72.87%), Time consuming practice problem (78.87%), Lack of awareness (93.37%), Lack of interest or orchard owner (68.25%), Age Problem (63.37%), Money problem (64.27), and Tenant system (66.71%) respectively, was observed in mango growers of six union councils of Taluka Hyderabad.

Key words: Drosicha mangiferae, IPM strategies, mango growers.

INTRODUCTION

Mango, Mangifera indica (L) belongs to family Anacardiaceae. It is one of the widely cultivated tropical fruit of the world which originated from India [1]. Currently, mango is holds a key positions in the tropical fruits of the globe [2]. In Pakistan, mango is the second largest cultivated and productive fruit after citrus [3]. Owing to lush green vegetative parts of mango and tender fruits, a number of insect pests attack mango, that not only reduce its yield quantitatively but also qualitatively. Causes of the low mango production include poor management strategies and lack of integrated pest management strategies against noxious pests of mango. Among mango insect pests, mango mealy bugs, Drosicha mangiferae is one of the key pests and serious threat to mango [4,5]. Bio-pesticides are the substances or mixture of substances used to prevent, destroy, repel, attract, sterilize or mitigate the pests [6]. The pest control in environmentally safe ways is considered an integral part of balanced agro-ecosystem management and protection [7]. However, in Pakistan most of the farmers still use toxic chemicals in crops and orchards to control pests [8]. Due to heavy uses of pesticide chemicals, people suffer from many diseases and they also pollute environment, soil, water and wild life [9]. Considering the adverse effects of pesticides and potential of IPM strategies to manage mango mealy bugs, this study was conducted to examine the factors effecting adoption of IPM strategies among mango growers of Taluka Hyderabad (Rural).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This project was conducted in Taluka Hyderabad (Rural) which comprised of eleven union councils. Out

of eleven, six union councils were randomly selected and from each union council, twenty mango growers were randomly selected. Accordingly, the sample size of this study comprised of 120 respondents. The sample size of respondents was determined by suggested formula. The data were collected with the help of a pre-tested and validated interview schedule based on a descriptive method. A questionnaire was designed to get information regarding the reasons for not aopting IPM strategies against mango mealy bug despite of several years of infestation. Questionnaire also comprised of questions to get information regarding the mango growers in mango cultivation. A four-point likert-scale was used to analyze the obtained information from the respondents. Depending on the research requirements of the study, interview schedules were used as a research tool. Study was based on socio-economic characteristics with qualitative mode of variables. The data were collected in the form of complex qualitative information. The collected data was then coded and analyzed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS, 20.0).

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Results given in Table 1 indicated that mango growers of all (six) union councils of Taluka Hyderabad adopting (93.78%) insecticide against mango mealy which is very high amount of poisonous material, that chemicals creating environmental pollution findings agree with [8] who reported that in Pakistan most of the farmers use toxic chemical pesticides on many crops and orchard trees. Due to use of many pesticide chemicals, people suffer from many diseases and pollute the environment, soil, water and wild life [9]. Present findings indicated that the adoption of eco-friendly measures are very low i.e. mango grower of all (six) Union councils adopting biological control 1.21%, Mechanical control 2.88%, cultural control 26.65%, Physical control 9.39%, bio-pesticide 2.9%, respectively. Although different scientist have worked on all environmentally safe control measures against mango mealy bug, but [10] reported that the IPM management of mealy bug is very important and useful if timely operations are done. Among IPM strategies, cultural methods comprised of soil tillage using disks to bring the mealy bugs to the surface of soil to expose them to their natural enemies i.e., birds, raccoons, skunks etc. To get maximum advantage of tillage, ploughing should be done in

the month of November so that mealy bugs can also be exposed to sunlight. [11] studied the effect of various control measures (cultural, mechanical and chemical) combined in an IPM strategy for the management of mango mealy bugs. The maximum females were collected from the root area under tree canopy of 0.16m⁻². It was also observed that combination of all three methods resulted in the reduction of pest population up to 98.46%. Moreover, cultural methods including ploughing, weeding and sanitation also reduced the population of mealy bugs considerably in the study orchards because cultural practices exposed the mealy bug eggs out of the soil to sunlight and natural enemies. Another study described that management of mealy bugs in mango orchards can be achieved through hoeing or plowing the soil up to the depth of 15 cm, at least three times between June and December, to destroy dia pausing eggs [12].

Table 2 indicated that illiteracy or low education level (65.23%) was observed in mango growers of six union councils of Taluka Hyderabad, where as Lack of extension

services (72.87%), *Time consuming practice problem (78.87), Lack of awareness (93.37%), Lack of interest or orchard owner (68.25%), Age Problem (63.37%), Money problem (64.27), Tenant system (66.71%) respectively. Present findings revealed that majority of mango growers not fully aware about the Integrated pest management strategies against mango mealy bug, our results agree with [13] who specifies that lack of proper extension, nonawareness, tenant system, age problem, and all other socio economic factors are the key obstacles to the adoption of eco-friendly measures against mango mealy bug. [14] also stated that socioeconomic characteristics of growers such as age, educational level, marital status, land size, farming experience, play an important role in determining mango growers participation in orchards activities. The age of respondent's 42.10±8.55 years were observed. Similar results were obtained by [14] found that individuals of all age groups were involved in this activities. Due to tenant system mango growers not adopting IPM strategies, and avoid time consuming practice problem.

Table No 01. Union council wise Control measures taken by growers against Mango mealy bug in taluka Hyderabad.

5. NO	Union cound	C11	Integrated pest management strategies						
			Insecticide	Biological	Mechanic	cal Cultural	Physical	l Bio-	pesticide
			Control	Control	Control	Control	Control	(Inor	ganic
			(Organic					comp	ounds)
			compounds)						
1.	1. Mosa Khatyan		95.36	1.3	5.01	20.36	11.80	3.3	
2. Tando Qaiser		er	97.49	2.5	3.1	21.76	13.1	5.0	
3.	3. Tandojam		92.12	1.5	3.46	36.19	10.14	1.1	
4.	4. Haji Sawan Khan		90.17	2.	2.13	28.74	9.1	2.5	
	Gopang								
5.	Masu Bhurg	gri	96.54	0	2.3	36.36	5.1	3.2	
6.	Husri		91.03	0	1.3	16.52	7.1	2.3	
Mean			93.78	1.21	2.88	26.65	9.39	2.9	
Table No. 02 Constraint in adoption of integrated pest management strategies against Mango Mealy bug.									
	Table No. 02	Constrai	nt in adoption	of integrated]	pest managem	ent strategies	against Man	go Mealy bu	g.
S.	Table No. 02 Union council	Constrai IPM	nt in adoption Lack of	of integrated *Time	Lack of	ent strategies Lack of	against Man **Age	go Mealy bu Money	g. Tenant
S. No	Table No. 02 Union council	Constrai IPM knowled	It in adoption Lack of extensio	of integrated *Time consuming	Lack of awareness	ent strategies Lack of interest or	against Man **Age Problem	go Mealy bu Money Problem	g. Tenant system
S. No	Table No. 02 Union council	Constrai IPM knowled ge	t in adoption Lack of extensio n	of integrated *Time consuming practice	Lack of awareness	ent strategies Lack of interest or orchard	against Man **Age Problem	go Mealy bu Money Problem	g. Tenant system
S. No	Table No. 02 Union council	Constrai IPM knowled ge	t in adoption Lack of extensio n services	of integrated p *Time consuming practice problem	Lack of awareness	ent strategies Lack of interest or orchard owner	against Man **Age Problem	go Mealy bu Money Problem	g. Tenant system
S. No 1.	Table No. 02 Union council Mosa Khatyan	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07	nt in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47	Lack of awareness 92.14	ent strategies Lack of interest or orchard owner 76.64	against Man **Age Problem 52.19	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47	g. Tenant system 69.28
S. No 1. 2.	Table No. 02 Union council Mosa Khatyan Tando Qaiser	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03	t in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23 77.83	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16	Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32	ent strategiesLackofinterestororchardowner76.6425.46	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89
S. No 1. 2. 3.	Table No. 02 Union council Mosa Khatyan Tando Qaiser Tandojam	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03 49.52	Int in adoptionLack ofextensionservices85.2377.8344.58	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16 89.26	Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32 92.12	ent strategiesLackofinterestororchardowner76.6425.4658.19	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96 78.49	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26 59.13	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89 58.12
S. No 1. 2. 3. 4.	Table No. 02Union councilMosa KhatyanTando QaiserTandojamHajiSawan	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03 49.52 67.89	nt in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23 77.83 44.58 86.12	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16 89.26 56.48	Dest management Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32 92.12 93.63	ent strategiesLackofinterestororchardowner76.6425.4658.1989.46	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96 78.49 54.25	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26 59.13 83.20	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89 58.12 69.76
S. No 1. 2. 3. 4.	Table No. 02Union councilMosa KhatyanTando QaiserTandojamHajiSawanKhan Gopang	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03 49.52 67.89	It in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23 77.83 44.58 86.12	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16 89.26 56.48	Dest management Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32 92.12 93.63	Lackofinterestororchardowner76.6425.4658.1989.46	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96 78.49 54.25	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26 59.13 83.20	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89 58.12 69.76
S. No 1. 2. 3. 4. 5.	Table No. 02Union councilMosa KhatyanTando QaiserTandojamHajiSawanKhan GopangMasu Bhurgri	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03 49.52 67.89 66.76	It in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23 77.83 44.58 86.12 74.36	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16 89.26 56.48 79.12	Dest management Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32 92.12 93.63 95.55	ent strategiesLackofinterestororchardowner76.6425.4658.1989.4685.12	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96 78.49 54.25 49.36	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26 59.13 83.20 68.23	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89 58.12 69.76 80.14
S. No 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6	Table No. 02Union councilMosa KhatyanTando QaiserTandojamHaji SawanKhan GopangMasu BhurgriHusri	Constrai IPM knowled ge 75.07 58.03 49.52 67.89 66.76 74.14	It in adoption Lack of extensio n services 85.23 77.83 44.58 86.12 74.36 69.10	of integrated *Time consuming practice problem 89.47 82.16 89.26 56.48 79.12 76.66	Dest management Lack of awareness 92.14 90.32 92.12 93.63 95.55 96.46	ent strategies Lack of interest or orchard owner 76.64 25.46 58.19 89.46 85.12 76.25	against Man **Age Problem 52.19 66.96 78.49 54.25 49.36 78.99	go Mealy bu Money Problem 59.47 41.26 59.13 83.20 68.23 74.36	g. Tenant system 69.28 46.89 58.12 69.76 80.14 76.11

93.37

*Time consuming practices: biological control, mechanical control, bio-pesticide, etc.

72.87

78.85

** Age Problem: Mango grower respondents (teen age workers).

65.23

CONCLUSIONS

Mean

On the basis of findings it is concluded that (93.37%) mango growers of all (six) union councils of Taluka Hyderabad not fully aware about the IPM strategies against mango mealy bug, whereas (66.71%) mango growers hand over their orchards on tenant system, (65.23%) of the respondents growers were observed illiterate or low education level. It was also observed that Illiteracy and lack of awareness is the big problem seen in between mango growers.

REFERENCES

68.25

 Butani, D. K., Parasites et maladies du manguier en Inde fruits". Laroussihle, F. Le Manguier. Maisonneuve et Larose, Paris, France. 30: 91-101 (1975).

64.27

66.71

63.37

 [2] Ghafoor, A., K. Mustafa, I. Zafar and Mushtaq. K.,
"Determinants of mango export from Pakistan" Faisalabad. J. Agric. Res. 48(1) (2010).

- [3] Mohan, S., A. Sirohi and Gaur, H.S., Successful management of mango mealy bug, *Drosicha mangiferae* by *Photorhabdus luminescens*, a symbiotic bacteria from entomopathogenic nematode, *Meterorhabditis indica*. *International* Journal of Nematology, **14**(2): 195-198 (2004).
- [4] Karar, H., J. Arif, S. Saeed and Sayed, H.A., A threat to mango. *DAWN Sci-tech. World, December*, 23 (2006).
- [5] Khater, H.F., "Eco smart Bio rational insecticides alternative insect control strategies. in insecticides, Perveen, F. (Ed.). In Tech, Rijeka, Croatia, ISBN 979-953-307-667 (2011).
- [6] Naveed, M., A. J. Salman; M.A. Saleem and A.H. Sayyed, Effect of foliar application of some insecticides on *Bemisia tabaci* predators and parasitoids in Pakistan. Phytoparasitica 36(4): 377-387 (2008).
- [7] Dich, J., S.H. Zahm, A. Hamberg and H.O. Adam.. Pesticides and Cancer. Cancer cause control, 8 (3): 420-43 (1997).
- [8] Khuhro, R.D. IPM Evaluation Study (FAO) consultancy Report, submitted to Director General Agriculture Extension, Sindh, Hyderabad p-75 (2008).
- [9]Anonymous, International mango conference, Multan 27th July. Khabrain newspaper in Urdu (2008).

- [10]Karar, H., Arif, M. J., Sayyed, H. A., Saeed, S., Abbas, G., & Arshed, M., "Integrated pest management of mango mealybug (*Drosicha mangiferae*) in mango orchards". International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, **11**, 81–84. (2009).
- [11] Pimentel, D. Environmental and economic costs of the application of pesticides primarily in the United States? Environment, Development and Sustainability, 7:229 – 252 (2005).
- [12]Randhawa. A., M.N Rais., T. Nangraj. A.W. Solangi, Constraints in adoption of biological control in sugar cane crop. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare, 5: No.5, 170-175 (2015).
- [13]Rais, M.N., A.W Solangi and Sahito. H.A., Economic assessment of rural women involved in agriculture and livestock farming activities in district khairpur". Wudpecker Journal of Agriculture Research. 2 (4):115-121 (2013).
- [14]Rais, M.N., A.W. Solangi ,A. G. Lanjar, Analysis of socio-economic factors of rural women involved in agriculture in the peripheral areas of taluka hyderabad (rural). Science International Journal Lahore, 27(1):349-352 (2015).